

In response to FOI request FOI637417656 – 3 Courtland Gardens

Contemporaneous notes of telephone conversations

Between [REDACTED], Peoples Services and [REDACTED], Enforcement Officer – 17th July

[REDACTED] gave me the background on how this property came to be used for this purpose. It was an emergency situation [REDACTED]. This property had already been purchased by the company (J24) and was being refurbished at the time that they received a referral from People's Services (PS). This was the only property suitable at the time. The ensuing issues raised by [REDACTED] have been documented and responded to by both the Police and People's Services. [REDACTED] that the company, before this situation, was exploring the use possibilities of the property. On Monday 8th July a letter from PS was sent to Cllr Prosser to explain/answer [REDACTED] queries. These appear to be around behaviour issues by the [REDACTED] I explained that our remit is whether a material change of use has occurred. [REDACTED] has provided me the contact details for someone at the company.

Between receptionist at J24 and [REDACTED] 23rd July

I called the company asking to speak to [REDACTED]. I was told [REDACTED] was out of the office but that I could email [REDACTED] I told the operator that I had emailed [REDACTED] but am getting no answer. [REDACTED] took my details and told me that [REDACTED] would pass the message on - [REDACTED] also confirmed that [REDACTED] is the only person I can speak to about 3 Courtland Gardens.

Between [REDACTED] of J24 and [REDACTED] Coleman 08th August

[REDACTED] confirmed the present use of the property is that [REDACTED] is residing there as an emergency placement and this will continue to be the case until the situation is assessed and reviewed. Moving forward it was always their intention to apply for planning permission to use the property for up to 2 young persons and an application has been submitted this week. I looked on the system whilst [REDACTED] was on the phone and confirmed that it has been received but was invalid, the additional info requested is not onerous and I opined that [REDACTED] architect should be able to deal with this quickly. I confirmed that the current situation is not a breach and thanked [REDACTED] for contacting me.